

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/812,639	03/20/2001	Michael R. Levine	LVN-08602/03	1113
25006 7:	590 03/21/2006		EXAMINER	
GIFFORD, KRASS, GROH, SPRINKLE & CITKOWSKI, P.C			KOPPIKAR, VIVEK D	
PO BOX 7021 TROY, MI 4	PO BOX 7021 TROY, MI 48007-7021		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3626	
			DATE MAILED: 03/21/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/812,639	LEVINE, MICHAEL R.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Vivek D. Koppikar	3626		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period realiure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2/8/0 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under B	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 2.3 and 5-12 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 2.3 and 5-12 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 10.	cepted or b) objected to by the l drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) \(\sqrt{Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)} \) 2) \(\sqrt{Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)} \) 3) \(\sqrt{Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)} \) Paper No(s)/Mail Date \(\sqrt{Notice of Draftsperson's Paper No(s)} \)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 09/812,639

Art Unit: 3626

DETAILED ACTION

Status of the Application

1. Claims 2-3 and 5-12 have been examined in this application. This communication is in response to the "Remarks" and "Amendments" section filed on February 8, 2006. This Office Action is Final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 2-3 and 5-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Volz in view of HealthCare and in further view of SimpleCare and in even further view of "M&T Bank NA launches its 5th cobranded credit card and 3rd supermarket" published on February 12, 1996 (hereinafter referred to as M&T)
- (A) As per claims 2-3 and 5-12, the rejection of these claims over the first three recited references was set forth in the Office Action dated January 19, 2006 and this rejection is incorporated herein by reference.

The applicants have amended claims 5, 9 and 12 to essentially recite that a healthcare user charges goods or services, especially health care goods and services, and these services are offered to the user by the provider at a discount and by the user having the credit card they are able to charge the goods/services to the credit card and the credit card enables them to get the goods/services they are purchasing at a discount.

Application/Control Number: 09/812,639

Art Unit: 3626

This concept is taught by M&T (see Abstract). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to have employed the concept taught by M&T to the combined method of Volz in view of HealthCare and SimpleCare with the motivation of having a means whereby a user could charge healthcare goods and services to a credit card and also receive discounts on those purchases using the same card, as recited in M&T (Abstract).

Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 2-3 and 5-12 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground of rejection.
- 5. The examiner would like to point out that in the remarks section the applicants argue against the 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection of Volz in view of Healthcare. However, the rejection in the Office Action dated January 19, 2006 was over Volz in view of Healthcare and Simplecare. The applicants are reminded that in order to attempt to overcome a 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection the applicants need to argue against the combination of all the applied references in the rejection.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Application/Control Number: 09/812,639

Art Unit: 3626

Page 4

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

7. Any inquire concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Vivek Koppikar, whose telephone number is (571) 272-5109.

The examiner can normally be reached from Monday to Friday between 8 AM and 4:30 PM.

If any attempt to reach the examiner by telephone is unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Joseph Thomas, can be reached at (571) 272-6776. The fax telephone number for

this group is (703) 872-9326 (for official communications including After Final communications

labeled "Box AF").

Another resource that is available to applicants is the Patent Application Information

Retrieval (PAIR). Information regarding the status of an application can be obtained from the

(PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either

Private PAIR or Public PAX. Status information for unpublished applications is available

through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, please feel

free to contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sincerely.

Vivek Koppikar

3/10/2006

JOSEPH THOMAS

DUIS ORY PATENT EXAMINER